Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VALORIE WINN,

Plaintiff,

v.

MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al..

Defendants.

Case No.17-cv-02524-HSG

ORDER STAYING CASE

Re: Dkt. Nos. 35, 36

On June 28, 2018, the Court denied Plaintiff Valorie Winn's motion to remand. See Dkt. No. 34 at 1. In that same order, the Court noted that Defendant Mondolez International, Inc.'s pending motion to dismiss cited to another case pending before this Court: Backus v. Biscomerica Corp., No. 16-cv-3916-HSG. Dkt. No. 34 at 9. On June 22, 2017, the Court stayed the Backus case pending decisions in Hawkins v. Kroger Co., No. 16-55532 (9th Cir.) and Hawkins v. AdvancePierre Foods, Inc., No. 16-56697 (9th Cir.), finding that the latter cases "could significantly narrow the issues in [the] case, conserve judicial resources, and avoid unnecessary legal expense." See No. 16-cv-3619-HSG, Dkt. No. 45. In light of the similar facts in Backus, the Court ordered these parties to show cause why this case should not be stayed pending certain decisions by the Ninth Circuit. Dkt. No. 34 at 9.

On July 13, 2018, the parties filed their responses to the Court's order. Mondolēz requested that the Court stay the case, as the Ninth Circuit's "resolution of the *Kroger*, AdvancePierre, and McGee actions will likely provide controlling authority in this case." See Dkt.

¹ The parties in *Backus* later identified another case pending in the Ninth Circuit that addresses similar allegations: McGee v. Diamond Foods, Inc., No. 17-55577. See Backus, No. 16-cv-3619-HSG, Dkt. No. 50.

United States District Court Northern District of California

No. 35 at 1. Plaintiff represented that she "agrees with and joins Defendant Mondolēz
International, Inc.'s OSC Response in full." Dkt. No. 36 at 1. The Court therefore STAYS this
action in its entirety pending a decision in those actions by the Ninth Circuit. In the interim, if
either side seeks relief from the stay for any purpose, it should file a formal motion. The parties
are directed to submit a joint status report on the progress of the aforementioned appeals no later
than October 17, 2018.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: 7/17/2018
HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge